

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/731,318	12/06/2000	Steve Paboojian	PAT053246-US-CNT02	1028	
1095 7590 NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			MENDOZA, MICHAEL G		
	ONE HEALTH PLAZA 101/2 EAST HANOVER, NJ 07936-1080			PAPER NUMBER	
			3734		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/05/2012	EL COMPONIO	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

phip.patents@novartis.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

NO NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/731,318	PABOOJIAN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
MICHAEL MENDOZA	3734

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection. No Notice of Appeal has been filed. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file

one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 if this is a utility or plant application. Note that RCEs are not permitted in design applications. The reply must be filed within one of

THE REPLY FILED 14 June 2012 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

J.S. Patent and Trademark Office T.O303 (Boy .09-2010) Advisory Action Refere the Filing of an Appeal Brief Paper No. 20120626					
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3734	Michael Mendoza /M. M./ Examiner Art Unit 3734				
/Gary Jackson/	Michael Mondoza				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
Claim(s) allowed: 13-22 and 31-34.					
ATATUS OF CLAIMS  4. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
13. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
See Continuation Sneet.  12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/	08) Paper No(s)				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NO See Continuation Sheet,</li> </ol>	OT place the application in condition for allowance because:				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•				
and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing the Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appliallant fails to provide a showing of good and of the prior of the					
presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	s why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier				
new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or app <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>					
allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): (a) will not be entered, or (b) will be entered, and an explanation of how the					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
appeal; and/or d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for					
<ul> <li>a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul>					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendments filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> </ol>					
AMENDMENTS	About and a filling a body first than the analysis of the anal				
Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of</li> </ol>					
NOTICE OF APPEAL					
mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) or (c) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the					
extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	n which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate				
FIRST RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S FIRST AFTER-FINA	AL REPLY WHICH WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL TUATION SET FORTH UNDER BOX (c). See MPEP 706.07(f).				
the prior Advisory Action or SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked check either box (a), (b)	f the final rejection, whichever is earlier.  or (c). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THIS ADVISORY ACTION IS THE				
within 2 months of the mailing date of the final rejection. The curre	ent period for reply expires months from the mailing date of				
	In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  A prior Advisory Action was mailed more than 3 months after the mailing date of the final rejection in response to a first after-final reply filed.				
	ry Action; or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later.				
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of</li> </ul>	the final rejection.				
the following time periods:					

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Okh iEP 1106/196 discloses the claimed invention except for wherein the powdered medicament exits the cavity only through the top end. It would have been obvious to one having oridnary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to omit the openings on the bottome of the receptable of Ohki, since it has been hied that omission of an element and it fuction in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art. When only the top end (22) of Okh is pierced, the resulting openins in the top end would still provide fluding communication to channels 10A and 11 to allowing air to draw the powdered medicament out of the receptable in Turbulent flow will be maintained, because turbulent flow is created by the distance between the Inflow and outflow tubes [0012], the nonlinear path of airflow [0006], [00071, and the channel is airflow velocity caused by flow-constriction orifice passage 26 [0058].